

【活動與快訊】——近期重要訊息快報

1/16 (三) 〈紐約大國民：珍雅各〉紀錄片放映暨映後座談

1/24 (四) 【廢死星期四】公政公約第 36 號生命權一般性意見重點破解

【近期講座/活動】

〈紐約大國民：珍雅各〉紀錄片放映暨映後座談

✦ 活動緣起：

近來迫遷案往往引起很大之爭議，一味地追求經濟發展及繁榮都市，卻忽略掉完善的都市規劃與人民的居住權。當呈現出一個全新的都市面貌的同時，是否代表有人犧牲了？《紐約大國民：珍雅各》這部紀錄片，係美國在 1960 年代，紐約市預定進行都市計畫並拆除人文聚落的歷史。片中主角珍雅各當時是一名記者，紐約的都市計畫引起她的關注，進而組織了民眾反對並發起一連串的行動抵制都市更新計畫。在過往那個女性參政還不興盛的時代，她的行動提醒了人們，在人口增加及追求現代化的過程中，仍應重視城市的多元樣貌，並時時刻刻記得市民才是都市主體。她後來也成為《偉大城市的誕生與衰亡》一書的作者，這本書至今仍被都市研究者奉為圭臬。

✦ 時間：2019 年 1 月 16 日 (三) 19:00-21:20

✦ 地點：國立臺灣大學大學霖澤館 1301 多媒體教室

✦ 映後座談講者：徐世榮教授、黃惠貞導演、詹順貴律師

✦ 報名方式：近期開設後將公開於「環境權保障基金會」粉絲專頁

✦ 主辦單位：惜根台灣協會、環境法律人協會、環境權保障基金會

✦ 協辦單位：台北律師公會環境法委員會

【廢死星期四】公政公約第 36 號生命權一般性意見重點破解

✦ 時間：2019 年 1 月 24 日 (四) 晚上 7 點

✦ 地點：左轉有書 X 慕哲咖啡 (台北市紹興北街 3 號 B1)

✦ 主持人：林欣怡 (廢除死刑推動聯盟執行長)

✦ 主講人：林慈偉 (廢死聯盟法務主任)、黃嵩立 (人權公約施行監督聯盟召集人)、劉繼蔚 (律師)

✦合辦單位：廢除死刑推動聯盟、人權公約施行監督聯盟、台灣人權促進會

✦講座簡介：

「人人皆有天賦之生存權。此種權利應受法律保障。任何人之生命不得無理剝奪。」～《公民與政治權利國際公約》第 6 條第 1 項

2018 年 10 月，兩公約的權威解釋機關—聯合國的「人權事務委員會」(Human Rights Committee)，在睽違近 30 年後，終於再次針對公民與政治權利國際公約第 6 條「生命權」規定，提出了第 36 號一般性意見了！

但，「第 36 號一般性意見」是啥咪碗糕？

按照兩公約施行法的規定，兩公約具國內法律效力，且一般性意見也是適用兩公約時所應參照之解釋。因此，這號最新的 36 號一般性意見的重要性，不言可喻。而新出爐的 36 號一般性意見，洋洋灑灑羅列 70 點，更充分地闡釋生命權，其中牽涉議題也很廣泛，從死刑、女性墮胎權、臨終病患自主權、大規模無差別殺傷害，到失蹤等生命權議題。

其中，就死刑部分：什麼是「情節最重大之罪行」？對於精神障礙者能不能判處死刑？一個死刑判決要經過如何的法律程序才不違反公約的規定？

36 號一般性意見對於這些議題有什麼新的看法呢？

本次廢死星期四，將邀請人約盟黃嵩立老師、劉繼蔚律師，以及廢死聯盟林慈偉法務主任，就公政公約第 36 號生命權一般性意見重點破解。歡迎有興趣的夥伴們來補補血！

【研討會徵稿】

Seventh Biennial Conference on Applied Legal Storytelling

✦ Boulder, Colorado, July 9 – 11 2019

✦ Hosted by the University of Colorado School of Law,

✦ University of Denver Sturm College of Law, and University of Wyoming School of Law, and coordinated by the Rocky Mountain Legal Writing Scholarship Group

✦ website: https://www.lwionline.org/sites/default/files/Call_for_Proposals_AppLS2019.pdf

✦ This is the call for proposals for the seventh biennial conference on Applied Legal Storytelling. We are offering two deadlines for submitting proposals: January 21, 2019 (priority deadline) and March 11, 2019 (extended deadline).

✦ About the Conference

The Applied Legal Storytelling Conference brings together academics, judges, and practitioners. The conference has previously convened in 2007 (London), 2009 (Portland), 2011 (Denver), 2013 (London), Seattle (2015), and Washington D.C. (2017). We are very excited to bring it back to the Mountain West (Boulder) in July 2019.

Applied Legal Storytelling (AppLS) examines the use of stories—and of storytelling or narrative elements—in law practice, legal education, and the law.

This definition is intentionally broad in order to allow people creativity in the way they think and present on the topic. Such topics may include: the ways in which fiction-writing techniques or narrative theory can inform legal storytelling; stories in the law, or law as stories; legal storytelling and metaphor; client story advocacy; legal storytelling and cognitive science; and ethical considerations in legal storytelling.

In an effort to continue the storytelling conversation for this seventh conference, and to welcome new attendees, we are providing resources for those interested in submitting a proposal and who wish to generate ideas or respond to others'. The first is a list of topics from past conferences, available at <https://www.lwionline.org/sites/default/files/TopicsfrompastAppLSconferences.pdf> . The second is a link to a bibliography on AppLS, including articles that have emerged from previous storytelling conferences, available at http://www.alwd.org/wpcontent/uploads/2015/11/08-rideout_article2015-pdf/ . We are also happy to answer questions and offer you suggestions—if you are a newcomer and interested in becoming involved, please reach out.

✦ Presentation Formats

The conference will include 60-minute and 30-minute time slots. For the 60-minute time slots, we welcome interactive, panel or roundtable presentation proposals, as well as other format types. For the 30-minute time slots, we envision presenters adopting something like the 14–18 minute ted-style format of rehearsed presentations that center on one idea conveyed through narrative, with reserved time for audience questions. On the proposal form, please indicate either a preference for a particular format or your willingness that we accept your proposal in any of the formats and time constraints.

✦ Submitting a Proposal

Complete the Proposal Form found here: <https://goo.gl/forms/PzommlqzYDoF3wJV2> .

✦ Deadlines

To allow greater flexibility for those interested in submitting a proposal, the Program Committee has established two submission deadlines:

- The Priority Deadline for submissions is January 21, 2019. The Program Committee will review all proposals received by this date by the third week of February.
- The Committee will also consider proposals submitted by the Extended Deadline, March 11, 2019, and will review these proposals by the first week of April.

✦ Proposal format

Please use the format provided at the end of this proposal. We ask for a short summary of fewer than 60 words for use in the conference app, as well as a narrative description of your proposal for selection purposes (500 words, maximum).

✦ Selection process

The Program Committee will review the proposals and respond according to the submission date. Those who submitted proposals by the Priority Deadline (Jan. 21) will be notified by the end of February if their proposal has been accepted. Those who submitted their proposal by the Extended Deadline (Mar. 11), will be notified by mid- April.

✦ Conference Structure and Registration

The conference will take place from July 9 to July 11, 2019, at the University of Colorado School of Law in Boulder, Colorado. Like previous AppLS conferences, this conference will be collegial, inclusive, and supportive of your work.

The 2019 conference will begin with registration and a reception early in the evening of July 9 at the law school. The next two days, July 10 and 11 will be devoted to a plenary session and presentations given in concurrent sessions. The conference will close with a dinner at the end of the day on July 11. The dinner is part of the conference itself— designed to be a signature event that facilitates ongoing conversations.

We will send out registration and hotel information early in 2019. The host school contact for the conference is Amy Griffin (Co-Chair), amy.griffin@colorado.edu . Updates will appear on the conference website, here: <https://www.lwionline.org/conferences/seventh-applied-legal-storytelling-conference> .

✦ Questions

If you have questions, please feel free to contact any member of the conference planning committee:

Nantiya Ruan nruan@law.du.edu (Conference Co-Chair & Chair of Planning Committee)

Deb Cantrell deborah.cantrell@colorado.edu

Ken Chestek kchestek@uwoyo.edu

Derek Kiernan-Johnson derek.kiernan-johnson@colorado.edu

Robert McPeake r.j.mcpeake@city.ac.uk

Jason Palmer jspalmer@law.stetson.edu

Ruth Anne Robbins ruthanne@law.rutgers.edu

Beth Schwartz bschwartz@law.fordham.edu

✦ Hosts & Sponsors

This conference is sponsored by the Legal Writing Institute and The Clinical Legal Education Association; hosted by the University of Colorado School of Law, University of Denver Sturm College of Law, and University of Wyoming School of Law; and coordinated by the Rocky Mountain Legal Writing Scholarship Group.

Constitutional History: Comparative Perspectives

✦ Chicago, Illinois

✦ April 29 & 30, 2019

✦ An international conference sponsored by:

University of Illinois College of Law

University of Bologna School of Law

Johns Hopkins Center for Constitutional Studies and Democratic Development

✦ Website: <http://www.legalscholarshipblog.com/files/2018/10/Call-for-Papers-Chicago-2019.pdf>

✦ Paper proposals are invited for the Fourth Illinois-Bologna conference on Constitutional History: Comparative Perspectives. The conference will be held in Chicago on April 29 & 30, 2019.

✦ The conference keynote speaker will be Justice Grant Huscroft of the Court of Appeal for Ontario (Canada).

✦ About the conference:

Accompanying the spread of constitutional government around the world has been a profound interest in the comparative aspects of constitutional law. Scholars have catalogued the differing features of national constitutions and examined how different constitutional systems resolve common legal issues. So, too, judges faced with legal questions have sought guidance in the decisions of constitutional courts of other nations. While comparative constitutional law is therefore a well-established field, less attention has been paid so far to the comparative dimensions of constitutional history. This international conference series aims to address that shortcoming by energizing the study and analysis of constitutional history from comparative perspectives. It provides a forum for presentation and discussion of current research on issues of constitutional history that crosses national boundaries. It also bring together scholars who, at present, are working on constitutional

histories of single jurisdictions—with the expectation that conversations among these scholars will allow for sharing of methodologies and point also to fresh areas of research that may transcend national boundaries.

For 2019, the focus of the conference is on Uses of History in Constitutional Adjudication. We therefore welcome papers that shed light on the myriad ways in which courts around the world make use of—or ignore—history as they go about deciding cases and the implications and effects of such efforts. In some nations, the historical meaning of a constitutional provision plays an important role in how courts interpret that provision and then resolve questions of constitutional law.

✦ **Deadline:**

Scholars interested in presenting a paper at the conference should first e-mail a title and summary of the proposed paper along with a CV to Professor Jason Mazzone at mazzonej@illinois.edu. There is no word limit for the proposals but proposals in the range of 500-1,000 words are typical. Proposals received by December 15, 2018 will receive priority. After that date, submitted proposals will be considered if space remains.